

Appendix K: Survey Method

Notice CPD 14-013



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development

Special Attention of:

- CPD Field Office Directors
- Entitlement CDBG Grantees
- State CDBG Grantees

Notice: CPD 14-013

Issued: September 23, 2014

This Notice is effective until amended, superseded, or rescinded

Cross References: 24 CFR 570

Subject: Guidelines for Conducting Income Surveys to Determine the Percentage of Low- and Moderate-Income (LMI) Persons in the Service Area of a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)-Funded Activity

I. Purpose

This Notice describes guidelines (methodologies) for conducting income surveys to ascertain whether or not a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)-funded activity designed to benefit an area generally qualifies as primarily benefiting LMI persons. Section 105(c)(2)(A)(i) of the Housing and Community Development Act (HCDA) of 1974 (as amended) stipulates that an activity designed to address the needs of LMI persons of an area shall be considered to principally benefit LMI persons if "...not less than 51 percent of the residents of such area are persons of low and moderate income." HUD's regulatory requirements for conducting a survey to determine the percentage of LMI persons in the service area of a CDBG-funded activity are located at 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)(vi) for the Entitlement program and 24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)(i) for the State program.

HUD provides the LMI Summary Data (LMISD) for grantees to use in determining compliance with the CDBG National Objective of providing benefit to LMI persons on an area basis.¹ The LMISD must be used "to the fullest extent feasible" unless a grantee believes that the data are not current or do not provide enough information regarding income levels in the entire service area.²

¹ Policy guidance regarding the 2014 LMISD for Entitlement Grantees and nonentitlement Hawaiian Grantees is located at: <http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/documents/huddoc?id=14-11cpdn.pdf>; Policy guidance for the State CDBG program is located at:

<http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/documents/huddoc?id=14-10cpdn.pdf>

² Information on how the LMISD is calculated is located at:

http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/systems/census

The procedures described in this Notice are basic survey methodologies that will yield acceptable levels of accuracy. It is recommended that CDBG grantees use these methodologies or other comparable methods to ascertain that at least 51 percent of the residents of the service area of a CDBG-funded activity are LMI persons. If an Entitlement grantee chooses another survey method, the grantee is required to demonstrate that the method meets standards of statistical reliability that are comparable to the *American Community Survey (ACS)* [which has replaced the decennial census (24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)(vi))]. Prior to conducting a survey, Entitlement grantees are required to have their survey instruments and methodology reviewed and approved by their local HUD Community Planning and Development (CPD) Office. State CDBG regulations at 24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)(a) require that the survey be methodologically sound.

Confidentiality:

If a grantee chooses to conduct a survey, the answers provided by respondents must be kept confidential. People are more likely to provide honest answers if the answers are to remain anonymous. It is recommended that the respondent's name, address, and telephone number appear only on the cover sheet of the questionnaire. After the survey is completed, the cover sheet may be numbered and separated from the actual interview sheet. If the cover sheets and the questionnaires are both numbered, they can be matched if necessary. It is suggested that the grantee make reasonable efforts to protect the privacy of the respondents and follow applicable State and local laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

II. Definition of Terminologies

CDBG Regulatory Definitions of *Family, Household, and Income*:

States are subject to the definitions of income (low, moderate, etc.) at 24 CFR Part 5 however, they may establish their own definitions of income pursuant to 24 CFR 570.481(c), provided that such definitions are explicit, reasonable, and not plainly inconsistent with the HCDA of 1974 (as amended). Definitions of income established by the State for the purpose of complying with the area benefit National Objective must be included in the State's CDBG Implementation Manual. Entitlement grantees must follow the definitions at 24 CFR Part 5 and 24 CFR 570.3

1. Pursuant to 24 CFR 5.403, family includes but not limited to the following, regardless of actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status:
 - A single person, who may be an elderly person, displaced person, nearly-elderly person, or any other single person; or
 - A group of persons residing together, and such group includes, but not limited to:
 - i. A family with or without children (the temporary absence of a child from the home due to placement in foster care shall not be considered in determining family composition and family size).

- ii. An elderly family—a family whose head (co-head), spouse, or sole member is a person who is at least 62 years of age. It may include two or more persons who are at least 62 years of age living with one or more live-in aides. (A live-in aide is a person who resides with one or more elderly persons or near elderly persons, or persons with disabilities).
 - iii. A near-elderly family—a family whose head (co-head), spouse, or sole member is a person who is at least 50 years of age but below the age of 62, living together; or one or more persons who are at least 50 years of age but below the age of 62 living with one or more live-in aides.
 - iv. Disabled family—a family whose head (including co-head), spouse, or sole member is a person with disabilities. It may include two or more persons with disabilities living together, or one or more persons with disabilities living with one or more live-in aides.
 - v. A displaced family—a family in which each member, or whose sole member, is a person displaced by governmental action, or a person whose dwelling has been extensively damaged or destroyed as a result of a disaster declared or otherwise formally recognized pursuant to Federal disaster relief laws.
 - vi. The remaining member of a tenant family.
 - vii. A single person who is not an elderly or displaced person, or a person with disabilities, or the remaining member of a tenant family.
- 2. Pursuant to 24 CFR 570.3, household means all persons who occupy a housing unit. A household may consist of persons living together or any other group of related or unrelated persons who share living arrangements, regardless of actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status.
- 3. Entitlement grantees may select any one of the two definitions of income:
 - i. Annual income as defined at 24 CFR 5.609 (except that if the CDBG assistance being provided is homeowner rehabilitation under 24 CFR 570.202, the value of the homeowner's primary residence may be excluded from any calculation of net family assets); or
 - ii. Adjusted gross income as defined for the purpose of reporting under Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Form 1040 for individual Federal annual income tax purposes.
- 4. Pursuant to 24 CFR Part 5 and 24 CFR 570.3, low-income person refers to member of a family that has an income equal to or less than the Section 8 very low-income limit established by HUD. Unrelated individuals shall be considered as one-person families for this purpose. (The Section 8 very low-income limit is income that does not exceed 50 percent of the median income for the area, as adjusted by HUD.) Unrelated individuals shall be considered as one-person families for this purpose.
- 5. Moderate-income person means a member of a family that has an income equal to or less than the Section 8 low-income limit and greater than the Section 8 very low-income limit, established by HUD. Unrelated individuals shall be considered as one-person families for this purpose.

Terms Used in Survey Research:

1. Respondent refers to the person who is responding to the questionnaire or interview.
2. Rate of response is expressed as a percent; it is the number of households participating in a survey (number of responses) divided by the number of households in the sample.
3. Population refers to the group whose characteristics you seek to estimate.
4. Sample refers to a portion of the population under study. Samples are used to draw inferences about the population.
5. Sampling is the process of selecting a group of respondents from the population.
6. Simple random sampling is a type of probability selection process in which the units composing a population are assigned numbers and a set of random numbers is then generated, and the units having those numbers are selected to make up the sample.
7. Representativeness refers to the quality of a sample having the same distribution of characteristics as the population from which it is selected.

III. Determining the Service Area of a CDBG-Funded Activity

The service area is the entire area to be served by the CDBG-funded activity. One of the crucial aspects of qualifying an activity as principally benefiting LMI persons on an area basis is the proper identification of the (boundaries of the) service area. The boundaries of the service area must be defined before deciding which data to use to determine the percentage of LMI persons and not vice versa. The principal responsibility for determining the area served by the activity rests with each CDBG grantee.

HUD will generally accept the service area determined by CDBG grantees unless there is substantial evidence to the contrary. In assessing such evidence, the full range of direct effects of the assisted activity will be considered. (The activities when taken as a whole must not benefit moderate income persons to the exclusion of low income persons.) Also, the area to be served by a CDBG-funded activity does not need to be coterminous with census tracts or other officially recognized boundaries, but it is critical that the service area be the entire area served by the activity [see 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)(i) for the Entitlement program and 24CFR 570.483(b)(1)(i) for the State program].

Entitlement Program:

Once it has been determined that the benefits of the activity will be available to all residents of a particular service area, the activity may meet the LMI Area Benefit national objective if the boundaries of the service area are clearly defined and at least 51 percent of the residents are LMI persons. Factors to be considered in defining the service area include:

1. Nature of the activity: In determining the boundaries of the area served by a facility, one must consider whether the facility is adequately equipped to meet the needs of the residents. For example, a park that is expected to serve an entire neighborhood cannot be too small or have so little equipment (number of swings, slides, etc.) that it would only be able to serve a handful of persons at a time. Conversely, a park that contains three ball fields or a ball field with grandstands that can accommodate hundreds of spectators cannot reasonably be said to be designed to serve a single neighborhood. The same comparison would apply to the case of assisting a small two-lane street in a residential neighborhood versus that of assisting an arterial four-lane street that may pass through the neighborhood but is clearly used primarily by persons commuting.
2. Location of the activity: Where an activity is located may affect its capacity to serve particular areas, especially when the location of a comparable activity is considered. For example, a library cannot reasonably benefit an area that does not include the area in which it is located. When a facility is located near the boundary of a particular neighborhood, its service area would be expected to include portions of the adjacent neighborhoods as well as the one in which it is located. The grantee may even carry out activities that are outside its jurisdiction if this is done in accordance with 24 CFR 570.309.
3. Accessibility issues: If a geographic barrier such as a river or an interstate highway separates persons residing in an area in a way that precludes them from taking advantage of a facility that is otherwise nearby, that area should not be included in the service area. Language barriers might also constitute an accessibility issue in some circumstances.

For certain entitlement grantees, the percentage of LMI persons in the service area can be lower than 51 percent and the area can still qualify under the *exception criteria* provision (or *upper quartile criterion*).³ The general rule requires that area benefit activities serve areas where the concentration of LMI persons is at least 51 percent. Section 105(c)(2)(A)(ii) of the HCDA provides an *exception* to the general rule for determining whether CDBG-assisted area benefit activities principally benefit LMI persons. The *exception criteria* allows certain grantees to undertake the same types of activities in areas where the proportion of LMI persons in the area is within the highest quartile of all areas in the grantee's jurisdiction in terms of the degree of concentration of LMI persons. Grantees qualify for this exception when less than one-quarter of the populated census tracts in its jurisdiction contain at least 51 percent LMI persons. Data at the block group level are to be used to determine qualification under the exception criteria. The *exception criteria* do not apply to the State CDBG program.

³ The exception criteria—24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)(ii)—is located at: http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/textidx?c=ecfr&sid=d60d662bd91f849ee36d0e524aac0781&rgn=div5&view=text&node=24:3.1.1.3.4&idno=24%20-%202024:3.1.1.3.4.3.1.9#se24.3.570_1208

State Program:

One aspect of service areas in non-entitlement areas is that a census tract may cover an entire city or there may be only two or three census tracts in an entire county. Therefore, scenarios which states and state grant recipients commonly face include the following:

1. The service area comprises only a small portion of the unit of general local government, or of a census tract. In such situations, information on the unit of government or the census tract is not useful because the residents of the service area make up only a small fraction of the total, and their characteristics may not mirror those of the larger area. A survey of the residents of the service area may be the most appropriate way to determine whether the service area qualifies under the LMI criterion. Examples of activities in which this may be encountered include: extending water lines to serve rural settlements in a county; construction of a neighborhood lot serving one subdivision in a city where the entire city is one census tract.
2. The service area includes all or part of several units of general local government and may contain both incorporated and unincorporated areas. HUD's LMISD may be usable for only a portion of the service area; therefore, the State and its grant recipients may need supplementary survey data for the other portions of the service area. It may be necessary to survey a large area to determine the percentage of service area residents who are LMI. Examples of activities include: (1) construction of a rural water system which serves more than one incorporated city plus portions of the surrounding unincorporated area of two counties in which the cities are located; (2) construction of a new fire station in a city where the municipal fire department provides, through contract, fire protection service for two adjoining townships (one of which is in a different county). The service area may be a sparsely populated rural area.
3. For such an area, a census of the entire population may be undertaken and the percentage of LMI persons calculated from the entire population of the service area, and not from the proportion of participants who responded to the survey. For example, if a small rural town with a population of 640 conducts a census of the entire population to determine the percentage of LMI persons and gets an 80 percent response rate. Fifty-one percent of 640 is 326, and 80 percent of 640 is 512. Of the 512 respondents, 326 of them should be LMI persons. It is inaccurate to use 51 percent of 512 which is 261.

IV. Performing LMI Qualification

Once the boundaries of the service area of the CDBG-funded activity have been defined, the next step is to determine the required percentage of residents that are LMI persons. To determine the percentage of LMI persons in the service area, grantees may use HUD's LMISD.

For the Entitlement program, CDBG Regulations at 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)(vi) require that the results of the survey meet standards of statistical reliability comparable to that of the ACS for areas of similar size to determine the percentage of LMI persons in the service area of a CDBG-funded activity. A statistically reliable survey entails the following:

1. The grantee must clearly document the survey method used: mail questionnaire, face-to-face or telephone interviews, etc. (Each method has advantages and disadvantages.)
2. Participants for the survey must be selected through a random sampling process, and replacements for non-respondents must also be selected through the same random sampling process.

For the State program, CDBG regulations at 24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)(i) require that grantees conduct surveys that are methodologically sound to determine the percentage of LMI persons in the service area of a CDBG-funded activity. Seasonal (or part-time) residents (e.g., migrant farmers who reside in manufactured homes) may not participate in an income survey if their benefit of a service or an activity is incidental. For example, the use of a library or senior center by seasonal residents would be considered an incidental benefit. Seasonal residents may participate in income surveys for CDBG-funded activities such as installation of sewer lines and sewage treatment plants, etc.

Seasonal (or part-time) residents (e.g., migrant farmers who reside in manufactured homes) may not participate in an income survey if their benefit of a service or an activity is incidental. For example, the use of a library or senior center by seasonal residents would be considered an incidental benefit. Seasonal residents may participate in income surveys for CDBG-funded activities such as installation of sewer lines and sewage treatment plants, etc.

The ACS defines residency in terms of “current residence” – a unit is defined as the current residence of a household if the household is living in the unit for at least two months upon receipt of the survey, even if the household lives somewhere else for most of the year. In contrast, the long form uses a “usual residence” rule, i.e., the place where a person lives and sleeps most of the time. The differences in the definition of residence have consequences for vacancy and homeownership estimates.

V. A Summary of Steps in Conducting LMI Surveys

When HUD’s LMISD data are not used in documenting LMI benefit on an area basis, CDBG grantees must comply with the standards for conducting surveys located at 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)(vi) for the Entitlement program and 24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)(i) for the State CDBG program. Anybody who has not conducted a survey can still do so by following a systematic approach. This guide describes procedures that may be used to determine whether the requisite percentage of the residents of a service area (51% or the exception percentage, as applicable) of a CDBG-funded activity are LMI persons. This guide does not restrict the CDBG grantee to any one type of survey methodology.

The choice of the type of survey method depends on the demographic composition of the service area. If the grantee chooses an electronic (i.e., web-based) survey, the assumption is that residents of the service area all have access to the Internet. If people do not have internet service at home, an additional burden is placed on them on how to respond to the survey. The rate of response is likely to decrease when respondent burden increases. Regardless of the type of survey method, consideration must be given to the needs of residents with limited English proficiency as well as residents with visual/hearing/speech impairments. The steps in conducting surveys are as follows:

Step 1: Select the Type of Survey:

Decide which survey method to use (i.e. telephone, door-to-door, mail, or web-based questionnaire,) and base your decision on available staff, size of the sample you need, and the means you have available for identifying samples for the survey.

Step 2: Develop the Questionnaire:

If you choose to conduct a mail questionnaire, use standard 12-point print and do not include too many questions on one sheet of paper. Generally, follow these guidelines:

- The questions in the questionnaire should be short, simple and efficient. Keep the language as simple as possible. Avoid bias. Do not induce particular answers. Include other questions, if you like, but make sure that the survey does not take too long.
- Use the correct income limits (correct amount, correct year, and correct service area) for the survey instrument. (Contact your local HUD CPD Office when in doubt).
- Avoid burdensome questions—i.e., questions with no correct answers. Such questions increase respondent burden.

Step 3: Select the Sample:

The grantee should:

- Define the service area: The definition must include the boundaries of the service area and the size of the population for which the percentage of LMI persons is to be determined.
- Identify the sample: Select a procedure for identifying the sample in the service area and identify a procedure for randomly selecting the sample. Obtain a complete list of residents, addresses, and telephone numbers in the service area.
- Determine the sample size: Determine the sample size needed in order to achieve an acceptable level of accuracy.
- Randomly select the sample: Make sure you add families to replace refusals and that the entire service area is covered—that is, be certain that you have not excluded certain areas or groups of people. Commercial (retail and industrial) sites, vacant lots and abandoned and vacant homes should be excluded from the sample because they do not have any effect on the outcome of the survey. Use an acceptable random selection method and decide the number of attempts to obtain responses before selecting replacements.
- Ascertain that the selection of subjects to be included in the sample and replacement procedures are structured to avoid bias; for example, daytime or weekday attempts may skew response rates in favor of unemployed, retired, or single income families.

Step 4: Conduct the Survey:

If you choose to conduct an interview survey, it is strongly recommended that you select and train your interviewers. The quality of the survey results depends on how well the survey is conducted. Even in small studies involving a single researcher-interviewer, it is important to organize the interviewing process before beginning the formal process. Make sure the interviewers are comfortable with the questions. The training process includes the following major topics:

- Describing the entire survey
- Identifying the sponsor of the survey
- Providing the interviewer with a working knowledge of survey research
- Explaining the survey sampling logic and process
- Explaining interview bias
- ‘Walking through’ the interview process
- Explaining respondent selection process
- Explaining scheduling and supervision
- Explaining follow-up for non-response

Make contact with the residents of the service area; consider writing or telephoning to let people know in advance that you are coming. Make multiple attempts to establish contact and reschedule another interview if the initial contact has not resulted in an interview. Replace the families you have written off as “unreachable.”

Step 5: Analyze the Results:

Complete the LMI Worksheet and record the calculated percentage of LMI persons.

Step 6: Document and Save Your Results:

- Save the completed questionnaires—preferably in a confidential manner. Use code numbers to conceal the identity of respondents
- Save the list of respondents—preferably in a form that does not identify their responses
- Save the description of the service area, the list of your sampling procedures (original sample, interview sheets or completed questionnaires, tabulations and a list or memo describing how other survey elements were handled, including replacements and replacement methods). Save your data.

VI. Procedures for Conducting a Methodologically-Sound Survey

Step 1: Selecting the Survey Type:

The most commonly used surveys for this application are: (a) mail survey (or self-administered questionnaire), (b) face-to-face (or door-to-door) interviews, (c) web-based surveys, and (d) telephone interviews (see Table A). For telephone and door-to-door surveys, it might be useful for the survey team to notify people by mail in advance to let them know that they will be contacted for a survey. This can overcome resistance due to ‘telemarketing fatigue.’

(a) Mail (or Self-Administered) Questionnaires:

A questionnaire is a set of questions sent by mail accompanied by a letter of explanation and a self-addressed stamped envelope for returning the questionnaire. The respondent is expected to complete the questionnaire, put it in the envelope and return it. To overcome people thinking a questionnaire is too burdensome, researchers often send a self-mailing questionnaire that can be folded in a certain way so that the return address appears on the outside. That way, the respondent does not risk losing the envelope.

Advantages of Mail Questionnaires:

- Covers large geographic area
- Provides an opportunity for honest answers to very personal questions
- No travel required
- Enables researcher to target a particular segment of the population
- Allows respondents to complete the questionnaire at their convenience

Disadvantages of Mail Questionnaires:

- May have possible coverage errors; for example, address lists might be inaccurate or out of date (duplicate address, incomplete or wrong addresses)
- Not appropriate for requesting detailed written responses
- May have a low return rate if too lengthy, poorly worded, or seems too personal
- May not have anyone available to assist the respondent with questions, especially if the questions are in English but the respondent's primary language is not English. Provisions must be made to provide non-English-speaking residents with a questionnaire in their own language. Also, provisions must be made for collecting responses from visually-impaired residents
- Easiest for people to disregard, postpone, misplace or forget about it
- Needs to allow longer time to collect responses
- Costly—must pay for return postage to get a decent response rate; also you have paid for postage even for those that aren't returned
- It's all or nothing—people will either do it all or not at all; with phone or in-person surveys, one might at least get some answers
- Lack of control over who fills out the questionnaire (for example, a child)
- People are more likely to give an inaccurate answer or provide the answer they think you want

HUD does not recommend mail surveys unless at least one follow-up letter or telephone call is made to obtain an adequate response rate. Combining a mail survey with a follow-up letter or telephone call may improve the rate of response.

(b) Face-to-Face (Door-to-Door) Interviews:

Face-to-face (door-to-door) interviews are where an interviewer asks questions of another (the respondent) in a face-to-face encounter. It involves more work since the interviewer must go and knock on doors in order to obtain interviews. However, in small areas this type of survey may be the easiest because one can define the service area by its geographic boundaries and develop procedures for sampling within those boundaries so that a list of families living in the area is not required. Interviewers have to be well trained to ensure that procedures are consistently followed and that responses are not influenced by facial expressions.

Advantages of Face-to-Face Interviews:

- Is a very reliable method of data-collection
- Researcher has full range and depth of information
- Interview may be scheduled to suit respondent's daily agenda
- Respondent has the option to ask for clarifications
- Target population may be easily located and defined
- People may be willing to talk longer, face-to-face, particularly with in-home interviews that have been arranged in advance

Disadvantages of Face-to-Face Interviews:

- Responses may be less candid and less thoughtful
- Interviewer's presence and characteristics may induce bias responses
- Interviewer is required to go to the respondent's location
- Residents who prefer anonymity may be reluctant to respond
- May reach a smaller sample
- Lengthy responses must be sorted and coded
- Can take too much time
- Costs more per interview than other survey methods; particularly true in rural areas where travel time is a major factor
- May not be able to gain access to the house (e.g., locked gates, guard dogs, "no trespassing signs," etc.)
- Translators may be needed when dealing with non-English speakers

(c) Web-based Survey:

A web-based survey is a data collection method whereby the questionnaire is administered online (i.e., through the internet). The questionnaire in a web-based survey may be the same as the questionnaire in mail surveys; the only difference is that rather than send it to the respondent by mail, the questionnaire is administered online.

Advantages:

- Respondent identity can be readily protected (unlike in paper questionnaires)
- Can be used to collect a large amount of data in major urban areas in a relatively short amount of time
- The privacy afforded by the computer makes it easier for respondents to provide honest answers to very personal questions
- No travel is required if respondent has internet at home
- Respondents are able to complete the questionnaire at their convenience within the time limit
- Responses can be automatically validated
- Automatic validation of responses enables the researcher to proceed directly to data analysis
- Surveys can be designed to accommodate those with visual, speech or hearing impairments, and can be translated into other languages to accommodate those with Limited English Proficiency

Disadvantages:

- Low-income families may not have internet at home and may be unwilling to go to a public library in order to respond to the survey therefore, it may be difficult getting a representative sample of the target population
- Also, the lack of internet at home and unwillingness to go to a public library to use the internet to participate in the survey may lead to a low response rate
- Easiest for people to disregard due to telemarketing fatigue
- It is costly to incorporate features that allow participants to respond only once
- Not easy to do follow-ups so as to improve response rate
- Equipment malfunction such as browser freeze or server crash may cause participant not to finish the process resulting in missing data
- A web survey is practically impossible in areas devastated by natural disasters
- Lack of control over who is completing the web survey

(d) Telephone Interviews:

A telephone interview is a data collection technique in which one person (an interviewer) asks questions of another (the respondent) via telephone. Telephone numbers of potential participants must be selected randomly. The interviewer must ensure that the respondent is someone competent and knowledgeable enough to answer questions about the family income status. In a telephone survey, you must devise a method for contacting those families without telephones or those with unlisted numbers. Hence it may be preferable to conduct door-to-door interviews in small service areas, especially in rural areas.

Advantages of Telephone Interviews:

- Relatively easy to conduct
- Saves money and time
- Appearance and demeanor of interviewer do not influence the respondent
- Respondents may be more honest in giving socially disapproved or sensitive answers due to greater anonymity for respondent
- Interviewer may use an alias rather than his/her real name for privacy or to conceal ethnicity if relevant to the study
- Allows interviewer to ask follow up questions
- No fear for personal safety

Disadvantages of Telephone Interviews:

- Respondents may be hostile to interviewers because of experience with previous telemarketing sales calls disguised as surveys
- Respondents may terminate the interview abruptly
- The interviewer may have problems reaching potential respondents by telephone because of the prevalence of answering machines that screen telephone calls
- May not be able to reach households with unlisted numbers, no telephone at all, or families that use only cell phones
- Some people do not like the intrusion of a telephone call to their homes
- Difficulty of reaching people due to reasons such as conflicting schedules
- It may be easier to be less candid to someone on the phone than in person
- Difficult to get accurate answers from non-English speakers
- Provisions must also be made for collecting responses from hearing or speaking-impaired residents.
- May not be able to reach residents who, due to cultural norms, do not use telephones

Since there are advantages and disadvantages to each approach, a grantee may use multiple methods to ensure equal access to and hence maximize response rates.

Step 2: Developing a Questionnaire:

Constructing a questionnaire requires decisions concerning the content, wording, format, and placement of questions—all of which have important consequences on the results of what you intend to measure. There are basically four areas involved in constructing a questionnaire:

- Determine the question content, scope, and purpose
- Choose the response format to be used in collecting information from the respondent
- Word the questions so as to get at the issue of interest
- Determine how best (i.e., the order) to place the question(s) of interest among other questions in the questionnaire

It is important that all respondents be asked the same questions, in the same order, and their responses recorded exactly, without additions or deletions. To ensure this, the questions must be written properly and the exact response of each respondent recorded as it is presented. It is recommended that interviewers carry two cards for each family. One card will contain figures for each low- and moderate-income level and its corresponding family size (see Table A). If racial data are to be collected, the other card will contain the following racial categories: White, Black/African American, Asian, American Indian/Alaskan Native, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, American Indian/Alaskan Native & White, Asian & White, Black/African American & White, American Indian/ Alaskan Native & Black/African American, Other Multi-racial; and the following ethnic categories: Hispanic, Latino, or not Hispanic or Latino.

Black/African American, Asian, American Indian/Alaskan Native, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, American Indian/Alaskan Native & White, Asian & White, Black/African American & White, American Indian/ Alaskan Native & Black/African American, Other Multi- racial; and the following ethnic categories: Hispanic, Latino, or not Hispanic or Latino.

TABLE A - Illustration of Income Cards

Card Number	Number of Persons in	Low/Mod Income Level
1	1	\$19,800
2	2	\$22,650
3	3	\$25,450
4	4	\$28,300
5	5	\$30,050
6	6	\$31,850
7	7	\$33,600
8	8	\$35,400
9+	9+	\$35,400+

Information about the racial and ethnic composition of the service area may be obtained directly from ACS data. However, HUD does not object to collecting information about racial and ethnic composition of the service area from the survey. CDBG regulations at 24 CFR 570.506(g)(2) for the Entitlement program and 24 CFR 570.490(a)(1) for the State program require submission of data on the racial, ethnic and gender characteristics of persons who are applicants for, participants in or beneficiaries of their CDBG programs. This information must be reported for each activity and should indicate the number persons benefiting by race, ethnicity, and gender.

Sample Questions:

Question 1

How many families currently reside at this address? _____ (If more than one family, each family must complete a separate questionnaire since more than one family can be living in one household).

Question 2

How many persons are there in your family including yourself? _____ (If you are single with no dependents, write 1).

If more than one family resides at the address, complete the following:

Family #1: family size (i.e., number of persons in family) _____

Family #2: family size (i.e., number of persons in family) _____

Family #3: family size (i.e., number of persons in family) _____

Question 3

Is the current, combined income of all family members residing at this address (including any related, dependent persons over 65 or working dependent children over 18) above or below the figure quoted on this card? ____ Yes, ____ No (Present the card showing family sizes and income levels from Table A).

Question 4

Please, check the ethnic group to which you belong:

Hispanic or Latino _____, Not Hispanic or Latino _____

Please, check the racial group to which you belong:

White _____, Black/African American _____, Asian _____, American Indian/Alaskan Native _____, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander _____, American Indian/Alaskan Native & White _____, Asian & White _____, Black/African American & White _____, American Indian/ Alaskan Native & Black/African American _____, Other Multi-racial _____.

(Present the card showing various categories).

Step 3: Selecting the sample:

The selection of a sample of families to interview involves a series of steps. Begin by defining the population whose characteristics are to be estimated. Then, determine how many families in that group must be sampled in order to accurately estimate the overall characteristics. Next, make some allowances for families that may not be readily available for the interview. Finally, select the families to be interviewed. This section discusses each of these steps.

Defining the Population:

If you (i.e., staff of the grant recipient) are trying to determine the proportion of families in a neighborhood with low- and moderate-incomes, that neighborhood is the population. However, instead of a neighborhood, the population may be a town, a county, or defined by some other boundary. But before you can obtain a sample, you must clearly define what area you want the sample to represent. For example, assume that the population is a neighborhood with about 400 families. You will sample from the 400 families and make estimates about the income levels of all of the persons in the sample.

Once you have defined your population, you need a method of identifying the families in that area so that you can interview them. Ideally, for a given neighborhood, you would have a list of every family living in the neighborhood and perhaps their telephone number. Then, you would devise a procedure to randomly select the families you want to interview. One way would be to go to the neighborhood and randomly select which homes to go to for an interview—the advantage of this method is that the houses are there, so you can go right to them instead of using a list. After collecting information on the various families, you can then make some estimates about the number of people in the neighborhood and their incomes.

City indexes (if available and up-to-date) usually provide the best source of household information suitable for sampling. Telephone books (no longer available in all communities) may be adequate, but keep in mind that you will miss people without landlines or with unlisted numbers. Also, telephone directories usually will have far more people listed than those who are in the service area, so you will need to eliminate those outside of your service area. Tax rolls are a source of identifying addresses in an area; however, they identify only property owners instead of residents. Also, tax rolls generally identify building addresses, whereas in the case of apartment buildings you are interested in the individual apartments. You can use tax rolls to identify addresses to go to, in order to get an interview, but you cannot use them as the basis of a mail or telephone survey (unless you have access to a telephone directory that identifies telephone numbers by property address).

How Big a Sample?:

After you have defined your population and selected a method for identifying individual families in the service area, you must next determine how many families to survey—that is, the sample size. A sample is representative of the population from which it is selected if its aggregate characteristics closely approximate those same aggregate characteristics in the population. The larger the sample, the more likely it is that its aggregate characteristics truly reflect those of the population. However, sample size is not dependent on the size of the population, for large populations. This means that a random sample of 500 people is equally useful in examining the characteristics of a state of 6,000,000 as a city of 100,000 or 50,000. For this reason, the size of the population becomes relevant when dealing with sparsely populated areas.

Sample Size Calculator (SSC) is a website (<http://surveysystem.com/sscalc.htm>) developed by Creative Research Systems to enable survey researchers to calculate sample sizes from various population sizes. To use the SSC you need both the confidence interval and the confidence level. The confidence interval is the range of values within which a population parameter is estimated to lie. Confidence interval is sometimes referred to as margin of error (+ or -).

Table B – Sample Sizes at 95% Confidence Level

Total Number of Families in the Service Area	Sample Size: Number of Families	
	95% Confidence Level	
	Confidence Interval = 4	Confidence Interval = 5
50	46 – 50 (may conduct a census)	43 – 50 (may conduct a census)
60	51 – 59	47 – 57
80	67 – 75	61 – 71
110	89 – 97	81 – 91
150	116 – 124	103 – 113
210	152 – 160	131 – 141
290	192 – 200	160 – 170
400	236 – 244	191 – 201
700	319 – 327	243 – 253
1200	396 – 404	286 – 296
1800	446 – 454	312 – 322
2500	480 – 488	328 - 338

For example, if a survey shows that 55 percent of a randomly selected sample has the parameter under investigation and the confidence interval is 5, what that means is that the actual percentage of the population which has that parameter may lie within the interval 50 to 60. Confidence intervals are applicable only in surveys where the sample is randomly selected from the relevant population.

The confidence level is the estimated probability that a population parameter lies within a given confidence interval. The confidence level tells you how sure you can be. It is expressed as a percentage and represents how often the true percentage of the population with the parameter being examined lies within the confidence interval. The 95% confidence level means you can be 95% certain; the 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain. Most researchers use the 95% confidence level because the 99% level leaves very little margin for error.

The numbers in the column titled “Total Number of Families in the Service Area” in Table B, are hypothetical numbers. If the total number of families in your service area does not match any of the numbers in Table B, select a confidence level and a confidence interval, and use the SSC to calculate the number of families in your sample.

As seen in Table B, at the same confidence level, sample size decreases as confidence interval increases. A confidence interval provides a range of values which contain the population parameter of interest. The confidence interval estimate gives an indication of how much uncertainty there is in the estimate. The narrower the confidence interval, the more precise is the estimate. For example, when the total number of families in the service area is 80, the range for the number of families is 67 – 75, at a confidence interval of 4 compared to a range of 61 – 71, for a confidence interval of 5. This has serious implications on the representativeness of the sample. For any given population, the sample size will be larger at a confidence interval of 4 than at a confidence interval of 5. A small sample size may decrease the extent to which the sample is representative of the population.

Unavailable Persons and Other Non-responses:

The standard requirements for conducting surveys include not only the notion that systematic, representative sampling methods be used, but also that high response rates be obtained and statistical weighting procedures be imposed to maximize representativeness. No matter what you do, some families will not be home during the time you are interviewing, some will refuse to be interviewed, some will terminate the interview before you finish, and some will complete the interview but fail to provide an answer to the key question on income level. If you choose to get responses from replacements, they must be selected through a random sampling process. As a matter of policy (with the intent to preserve the credibility of the results of the survey), non-respondents are classified as non-LMI persons. The decision to get responses from replacements may become inevitable if the proportion of non-responses is high enough to affect the validity of the results of the survey. Non-response rates greater than 20 percent may affect the validity of the survey; for example, a non-response rate can become a serious problem when a 100% survey (referred herein as census) is conducted instead of a survey (as may the case in sparsely populated areas). If the non-response rate is too high, there is the risk of not having enough LMI respondents to make the required percent of the total population of the service area.

Drawing Samples:

In random sampling, you are looking at a portion of everyone in a group and making inference about the whole group from the portion you are observing. For those inferences to be most accurate, everyone who is in the group should have an equal chance of being included in the sample. If you encounter ‘unreachables’ you should replace them with the next family in the list, in the order they were selected.

If you do not have a list of all the families in a service area you are trying to measure, but you know the geographic boundaries of the area, you might randomly select a point at which to start and proceed systematically from there. You will achieve more accuracy if you are not too quick to write off a family as unreachable. You are more likely to achieve randomness if you obtain interviews from the families you selected first. Thus, if you are doing a door-to-door survey, you probably should make two or more passes through the area (preferably at different times) to try to catch a family at home. Frequently they will be busy, but may say that they can do the interview later—you should make an appointment and return. Only after at least two tries or outright refusal should a sampled family be replaced. With a telephone survey, at least three or four calls should be made before replacing a family.

Step 4: Conducting the survey:

To carry out the survey, you have to reproduce a sufficient number of questionnaires, recruit and train interviewers, schedule the interviewing, and develop procedures for editing, tabulating, and analyzing the results.

Publicity:

To promote citizen participation, advance notice may be needed. A notice in a local newspaper or announcements at churches or civic organizations let people know that you will be conducting a survey to determine the income levels of the area. Citizens can also be informed through local government websites and/or email listserve used for sending announcements to residents. Also, neighborhood associations and civic organizations may have websites or email listserve that can be used for publicity. If people are notified in advance how, why, and when they will be contacted, they may be more likely to cooperate.

As with all aspects of the survey and questionnaire, any publicity must be worded so that it does not bias the results. For example, it is better to say that the community is applying for a CDBG grant and that, as part of the application, the community has to provide current estimates of the incomes of the residents of the service area. It is not appropriate to say that, in order for the community to receive the desired funding, a survey must be conducted to show that most of the residents of the service area have low and moderate incomes.

Interviewers:

It may not be necessary to hire professional interviewers. Volunteers from local community groups and civic organizations serve well. Also, schools or colleges doing courses on civics, public policy, or survey research may be persuaded to assist in the effort as a means of providing students with practical experience. It is best if interviewers are chosen that make the respondents feel comfortable. For this reason, survey research companies often employ mature women as their interviewers. When interviewers are of the same race and social class as the respondent, the survey usually generates a better response rate and more accurate results. It is important that the interviewer commands the attention of the respondent, reads the question as written, and writes down the responses as given.

It is important that interviewers have all of the materials they need to complete the interview. Usually, you will want to assemble an interviewer kit that can be easily carried and includes all of the important materials such as:

- A ‘professional-looking’ 3-ring notebook (this may even have the logo of the organization conducting the survey)
- Map of the service area
- Sufficient copies of the survey instrument
- Official identification (preferably a picture ID)
- A cover letter from the sponsor of the survey
- A phone number the respondent can call to verify the interviewer’s authenticity.

Contact and follow-up:

Initially, the interviewer should make contact with the head of the family or someone who is qualified to speak for the family and has knowledge about the family income. After making contact, the interviewer should introduce him/herself, state the purpose of the survey and solicit the participation of the respondent. If the interview is being conducted face-to-face, the interviewer should find the card for the family size of the respondent, hand it to the respondent, and then ask the questions and record the answers. If the interview is being conducted by telephone, a card cannot be used; therefore, the interviewer should make reference to the income level that is the threshold for a family of the size of that of the respondent. For example, if there are three persons in the respondent’s family you might ask, “is the current combined income for your family during the past twelve months, less than or more than \$25,450?”

While the necessary questions are brief and simple, there are some additional factors to take into account when designing the questionnaire. First, the questions used in the survey cannot be “loaded” or biased. For example, the interviewer may not imply that the neighborhood will benefit or receive Federal funding if respondents say that they have low incomes. The questions must be designed to determine truthfully and accurately whether respondents are LMI persons. It is permissible to state that the reason for the survey is to gather information essential to support an application for funding under the CDBG program or to undertake a CDBG-funded activity in the area.

Second, bear in mind that questions about income are rather personal. Some respondents may be suspicious or reluctant to answer questions about their incomes—especially if they do not see the reason for the question. A good way to handle this problem is usually to put questions about income at the end of a somewhat longer questionnaire on other community development matters. In this instance, a local agency can use this questionnaire to gather some information on what the neighborhood sees as important needs or to gather feedback on a proposed policy or project. At the end of such a questionnaire, it is usually possible to ask questions on income more discretely. If this option is chosen, the interviewer should be cautioned that a lengthy questionnaire might cause respondents to lose interest before completing the survey. The ideal length here would probably be less than ten minutes, although certainly you could develop an even longer or shorter questionnaire as necessary.

Interviewers should plan to contact respondents at a time when they are most likely to get a high rate of response. Telephone interviews are usually conducted early in the evening when most people are home. Door-to-door interviews also may be conducted early in the evening (especially

before dark) or on weekends. Interviewers should try again, at a different time to reach anyone in the initial sample who is missed by the initial effort.

Generally, avoid selecting interview times that risk yielding biased results. For example, interviewing only during the day, from Monday to Friday, will probably miss families where both the husband and wife work. Since these families may have higher incomes than families with only one employed member, your timing may lead to the biased result of finding a high proportion of low-and moderate-income households.

In making contact with a member of the family, the interviewer first has to determine that the person being interviewed has sufficient knowledge and competence to answer the questions being asked. The interviewer should ask to speak to the head of the family. If it is absolutely necessary to obtain an interview at the sample residence, the interviewer may conduct an interview with other resident adults or children of at least high school age only after determining that they are mature and competent enough to provide accurate information.

As part of your questionnaire, you should develop an introduction to the actual interview. This should be a standard introduction in which the interviewers introduce themselves, identify the purpose of the survey, and request the participation of the respondents. Usually, it is also a good idea to note the expected duration of the interview to let respondents know that the burden to them will be minimal.

Interviewers also should follow the set procedures for replacing “unreachables” (discussed in step 3). If they must write off an interview, they should follow this procedure. This replacement procedure is not random and thus will ensure the validity of your survey results.

The Interview:

Every interview includes some common components. There is the introduction where the interviewer is invited into the home and establishes a rapport that facilitates the process of asking questions. The first thing the interviewer must do is gain entry and several factors can enhance this. Probably the most important factor is the interviewer’s initial appearance. The interviewer needs to dress professionally and in a manner that will be comfortable to the respondent. The initial appearance of the interviewer to the respondent sends simple messages—the interviewer is trustworthy, honest, and non-threatening.

The interviewer is standing at the doorstep and someone has opened the door, even if only halfway. The interviewer needs to smile and be brief. State why (s)he is there for and suggest what (s)he would like the respondent to do. For example, instead of saying “May I come in to do an interview?” the interviewer might try a more imperative approach like “I’d like to take a few minutes of your time to interview you for a very important study.”

Without waiting for the respondent to ask questions, introduce yourself. The interviewer should have this part of the process memorized so (s)he can deliver the essential information in 20-30 seconds at most. The interviewer should state his (or her) name and the name of the organization (s)he represents; and show his or her identification badge. If the interviewer has a three-ring binder or clipboard with the logo of the organization or sponsor, (s)he should have it out and visible. The interviewer should assume that the respondent will be interested in participating in the study—assume that (s)he will be doing an interview here.

If the respondent indicates that the interview should go ahead immediately, the interviewer needs an opening sentence that describes the study. Keep it short and simple. Use the questionnaire carefully, but informally. Interviewers should read the questions exactly as they are written. If the respondent does not understand the question or gives an unresponsive answer, it usually is best for the interviewer to just repeat the question. Do not attempt to guide the respondent to give particular responses. Questions should be read in the order in which they are written. The respondents' answers should be recorded neatly, accurately, and immediately as they are provided. At the end of the interview, and before proceeding to the next interview, the interviewer should always do a quick edit of the questionnaire to be sure that they have completed every answer correctly. This simple check helps to avoid the frustrating mistake of having taken the time and expense of conducting the interview, but without getting the information sought.

If other questions are included in the questionnaire and the questions on income are placed at the end, it is possible that a willing respondent may end the interview before getting to the critical questions on income. If it appears that the respondent is about to terminate the interview, it is recommended that the interviewer immediately tries to get an answer to the critical income question(s).

Editing:

Interviewers should turn their completed surveys over to the staff person (henceforth expert) for analyzing the data. That expert should review each survey to ensure that it is complete and that each question is answered only once and in a way that is clear and unambiguous. Questions or errors that are found should be referred to the interviewer for clarification. It also may be desirable to call the respondent, if necessary, to clarify incomplete or ambiguous responses. If a question or an error cannot be resolved, a replacement should be added and the new respondent contacted. Note that editing is an ongoing process because the expert may still discern errors that need correction during data tabulation and analysis.

Step 5: Determining the Results:

After collection and editing, the data are analyzed in two steps: (1) tabulate the responses from the questionnaires and calculate an estimated proportion of low-and moderate-income persons; and (2) determine how accurate that estimate is. The first part can be taken care of by completing the sample LMI Worksheet.

Tabulation:

Computer programs such as Excel, Access, Minitab, SAS, SPSS, etc. are easy to use for tabulating data. The computer also makes it relatively easy to check for accuracy and consistency in the data. However, you can perform the calculations by hand or with a calculator.

Also, you can process the data by putting it on a code sheet, by entering it on a manual spreadsheet, or just by flipping through the completed surveys. Regardless of how you process and tabulate the data, when you are finished you should be able to complete the Low-and Moderate-Income Worksheet.

Table D - Low- and Moderate-Income Worksheet

1. Enter the Estimated total number of families in the service area 1. _____
2. Enter the total number of families interviewed 2. _____
3. Enter the total number of persons in the families interviewed 3. _____
4. Enter the total number of persons in the families interviewed who are low- and moderate-income persons 4. _____
5. Divide Line 4 by Line 3 5. _____
6. Multiply Line 5 by 100. This is the percentage of LMI persons in the service area 6. _____

Analysis:

If you have done everything correctly, including random selection of the required number of families, and your estimate shows that less than 51 percent of the residents of the service area have low- and moderate-incomes, you cannot undertake LMI area benefit activities in that area. However, this may not be the case if it is an “upper quartile exception community.” Therefore, this section is not applicable to exception grantees. If the entry at Line 6 is at least 51 percent, you can perform additional analyses to determine the extent to which your estimate of the LMI residents is correct. First, compare the average size of LMI families with non-LMI families. The closer these figures are to each other, the more confident you can be in your estimate. Thus, if you estimate that 53 percent of the residents have low- and moderate-incomes and you find in your sample that both LMI families and above LMI have an average of 3.4 people, you can be pretty sure that your results are reliable.

Since the purpose of the CDBG program is to principally benefit LMI persons, as a matter of policy, rounding is NOT to be used in determining whether an area meets the 51 percent threshold for the national objective compliance for an area benefit activity. For example, 50.99 percent cannot be rounded to 51 percent.

Step 6: Documenting the Results:

It is important that the results of the survey be documented, since those who audit or evaluate your program may want to review the procedures and data used to determine that the service area qualifies under the CDBG program regulations. The grantee should therefore maintain documentation of the survey. The contents of that documentation are as follows:

1. Keep the completed surveys. This will show that the grantee actually conducted the survey (and asked the proper questions). It is best if each survey has a cover sheet containing information that identifies the respondent, such as name, address, and telephone number. Then, when the survey is complete, the cover sheets can be separated from the questionnaires. The questionnaires can be saved as documentation, but the privacy of the respondents must be maintained.
2. Saving the cover sheets separately provides a record of who was contacted. If there is a need to subsequently verify any data, one could contact the respondents noted on the cover sheet and ask them whether, in fact, they had spoken to a particular person on a particular date to discuss matters related to community development. The privacy of the respondents' original responses is still protected by this procedure.
3. Keep a list of the actual families sampled. This might be one list with the sampled families, checked once if they were sampled and checked twice if they were interviewed. Replacement families should be noted too. There should be written documentation about the method used to select families from the list for interviewing. Note that this is different from keeping just the cover sheets, as it documents not just who was interviewed, but also who was not interviewed and how they were selected. If the method used is a door-to-door sample without starting from a universe of families, the procedures used to select the sample, including instructions to interviewers for replacing sampled families who were not interviewed should be documented.
4. Survey data should be retained in accordance with record-keeping requirements of the State program at 24 CFR 570.490 and the Entitlement program at 24 CFR 570.506. Keep a backup copy of the data; and when tabulating, retain any spreadsheets or tables containing raw data.

If you have any questions regarding this Notice, please contact your CPD Field Office. Field Offices should contact Neba Funiba, State and Small Cities Division (SSCD), Office of Block Grant Assistance, 451 7th Street, SW, Room 7184, Washington, DC 20410. Mr. Funiba's phone number is (202) 402-4553.